Направление 3. Макроэкономическое моделирование. Развития экономической системы

Voznyi K. Z.

Associated professor, PhD Economics Ternopil National Economic University Vozna T. K. Ternopil National Economic University Ternopil, Ukraine

KEYNESIAN INVESTMENT MULTIPLIER: FROM THEORY TO PRACTICE

One of the main problems of modern theoretical economic and business practices is the study of sustainable economic growth of the society. The basic problem of economic growth generally falls into two main components:

identification of a set of dominant macroeconomic growth factors: 1)

2) the study of the forces, direction and mechanism of the correlation between these factors.

Among the factors-hypotheses that may be potential agents of such effects we can distinguish primary investments, quality of consumption and savings, quality and quantity of borrowed resources, technology etc. They mainly act as the subject of study in different models of economic growth. One of the most reputable and solid models of economic growth is the investment multiplier model.

Mechanism of the investment on the level of production and gross income is based on the multiplier effect, the effect which was explained by John Maynard Keynes in his work "The General Theory of Employment, Interest and Money" (1936) [1, p. 358]. The main attention is paid to aggregate demand, which determines the amount of aggregate consumption and investment. Keynes showed that the annual increase in national income (ΔY) is determined by the annual net investment (ΔI) and a special rate - multiplier factor (k): $\Delta \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{k} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{I}$ (1)

So, in Keynesian theory, multiplier is the factor, that shows the dependence of the income change from investments.

Also Keynes deduces the indicators of marginal propensity to consumption (MPC) and savings (MPS), which can be associated with multiplier: (2)

k = 1/(1-MPC) = 1/MPS

As the pace of economic growth at the macro level is determined by multiplier, in order to increase the rate of growth it is important to increase consumption (aggregate demand) and reduce the total savings (you need to consume as much as possible, spending a maximum share of income on this). This Keynesian conclusion (in 1936) impressed his contemporaries as it was directly in conflict with existing microeconomic approach, calling to comprehensive savings and saving all the resources and revenues.

However, the statement of multiplier proposed by Keynes has more mathematical nature, and it simply explained the fact of the correlation between changes in income and changes in investments in a given time. Therefore, this multiplier determines the static (fixed, frozen) nature of the correlation and does not disclose the reasons of this correlation.

Simplified theoretical model of investment multiplier mentioned above, despite its consistency and harmony is not complete and settled. Let's analyze based on macroeconomic data that characterize the economy of Ukraine in the past 10 years, the empirical accuracy of 28

the multiplier investment model (Table 1). We have made calculations and comparisons of actual macroeconomic data with similar variations of theoretical hypotheses. Thus, for the calculation of a hypothetical increase in national income (ΔY), we used a basic formula of investment multiplier ($\Delta Y = k \cdot \Delta I$). Conversely indicator k was calculated based on the actual data of the dynamics of indicators of marginal propensity to consume (MPC) and the marginal propensity to save (MPS). The value of a hypothetical magnitude increase in national income, received in simple mathematical calculations, differed significantly from the actual data of the national accountancy for the period.

Hypothetical value of the increase in national income will preferably be much greater than the actual value, because with each successive cycle change in income not only additional consumption arises, but also does the additional investment. The process of multiplication under these conditions depends not only on the marginal propensity to consume, marginal propensity to invest (IRI), but also other variables not included in the model multiplier. Another reason of the disparity of actual and calculated values of national income growth for the period is different depth of "methodical penetration" in the heart of the problem of theoretical model "multiplier " on one side, and the system of national accounts presented in the form of documents in the State Statistics Service of Ukraine on the other. The applicable disadvantage of the theory "multiplier" also should determine its inflation saturation, because it appeals to the nominal value variables without bringing them to a real, deflated level.

Table 1

The dynamics	of the main	n macroecor	nomic in	dicators
Of Ukraine for	the period	from 2000	to 2010	, mln. [2]

	Years										
Indic	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
ators	l										
Actu	-	3871	2436	4299	7941	9587	1006	1839	2205	-	1873
al		4	5	7	0	7	45	12	77	31803	07
incre											
ase											
in Nl	ļ			L							
Inves	2362	3257	4656	5989	8931	1111	1489	2226	2720	19287	1890
tmen	9	3	3	9	4	74	72	79	74	8	61
ts	L										
Inves	-	8944	1399	1333	2941	2186	3779	7370	4939	-	-
tmen			0	6	5	0	8	7	5	79196	3817
ts			}								
incre											
ase								L			
Cons											
umpt	1279	1563	1703	2016	2455	3378	4249	5585	7589	77282	9142
ion	82	44	25	24	56	79	06	81	02	6	30
Cons											
umpt											
ion											
incre		2836	1398	3129	4393	9232	8702	1336	2003		1414
ase	-	2	1	9	2	3	7	75	21	13924	04
Savi											
ngs	4189	5224	6263	7433	1098	1133	1269	1772	1974	15174	1976
	6	8	2	0	08	62	80	17	73	6	49

Savi		1					1	[[Γ
ngs			ļ								
incre		1035	1038	1169	3547		1361	5023	2025	-	4590
ase	-	2	4	8	8	3554	8	7	6	45727	3
MPC	-	0,73	0,57	0,73	0,55	0,96	0,86	0,73	0,90	-0,44	0,75
Multi plier coeff icient (k)	-	3,70	2,33	3,70	2,22	25	7,14	3,70	10	0,69	4
Esti mate d incre ase in natio nal inco me $(\Delta Y=$ k· $\Delta I)$	-	3309 2,8	3259 6,7	4934 3,2	6530 1,3	5465 00	2698 77,72	2727 15,9	4939 50	- 54645 ,24	- 1526 8
Inde x devia tion (actu al from calcu lated)	-	- 5621 2	8231, 7	6346, 2	- 1410 8,7	4506 23	1692 32,72	8880 3,9	2733 73	- 22842 ,24	- 2025 75

Bibliography:

1. Економічна теорія: Підручник / За ред. В.М. Тарасевича. – Київ: Центр навчальної літератури, 2006. – 784 с.

2. Державний комітет статистики України [Електронний ресурс] : офіційний веб-сайт. – Режим доступу : http://www.ukrstat.gov.ua/.

3. Економічна теорія: політична економія. Підручник / За аг. Ред.. С.І. Юрія. – К.: Кондор, 2009. – 604 с.

4. Макроекономіка: Підручник / за ред. В.Д. Базилевича. – К.: Знання, 2004. – 851 с. – (Класичний університетський підручник)