

—

—

1917–1921 .

•

• ,

,

•

∴ , , ,

,

,

,

—

”

•

—

—

1917–1921 .

,

,

•

« XX : —

», , • , • , •

, • , • , • , • , •

, • •

, • , • , • •

:

« ? — • — ,

, • , (

) ,

,

.

,

,

» [28, .21].

.

.

,

.

,

,

.

—

.

.

,

.

,

,

.

.

.

,

,

.

1897 .,

29,12%,

—

38,64%,

— 8,97%,

— 15,68%.

—

13,43%

, 16,24%

,

1,03%

63,72%

[19, .288].

,

.

,

.

.

—

.

96%

,

— 83%,

— 87% [10, .187].

,

1917 .

.

,

,

75,8%

,

— 90,6% [29, .79].

50—

60% [27, .238]

XIX .

44%

[25].

1909 ., 230  
 90% [6]. 248  
 208 , 84% [29, .80].  
 1897 ., 37 863 – 55,9%  
 [4, . 159]. ,  
 60%, – 70%,  
 – 30%, – 60–65% [27, .239].  
 ,  
 – , , , [4, .160].  
 , , ,  
 . , 1864 1867 .  
 – ’ , – [27, .239].  
 – ,  
 , [18, .47]. ,  
 , 1,9%  
 , 5% [16]. 1890 .  
 13% , –  
 . , 50%  
 [18, .47]. 2 916 630 1889 .  
 11,02% , 1902 . – 10,34%.  
 377 , – 416 [16].  
 , –  
 . 3/4  
 . , , ,

« ».

,

20%

[24, .245].

,

«

»

[21, .672;18, .20].

[21, .672]

:

,

«

»,

,

—

[24, .245],

1817 .

30%

[9, .96].

1872 .

90%

, 56%

, 48%

33%

[24, .245].

,

,

.

,

,

[4, .160].

.

,

.

.

1897 .,

19 626

— 30%

[4, .159,160]

.

,

,

.

—

.

—

,

—

,

,

.

—

,

,

[27, .236–237].

( 30% ),

[27, .238].

[4, .160].

1861 .  
[4, .161].

. XIX .

1904

46%

[24, .244–245].

2,3

(1/5 ) [11, .46].

40%

[13, .44].

[1, .13].

( , )

[11, .44;5, .102].

1904 .

54%

[24, .244–245].

[1, .13].

53

1917

2 . [11, .45,46].

[18, .18].

1914 .

80% [2, .131].

50 .

9/10

5%

526 . [7, .81–82],

3,8

[22, .1772],

– 2 . [7, .80,81].

1860–1870–

[8, .417].

50%

30% [17, .12;27, .240]

1886 .

165

1911–1912 .

[20, .81].

[30, .19].

1914 .

81

7

. [17, .12].

88% [3, .26]. 1900 .

[3, .27].

[14, .3737].

– 75%

20%

[3, .26–27],

« »,

– « »,

– « » [26].

[23, .115].

[27, .240];

[23, .115–116].

. XIX .

, 1917 . 45%

, 35 –

20% –

[30, .187,189].

1. XIX – XX  
 : 07.00.01 / ;  
 , 2003. – 20 .
2. // :  
 VI  
 6–8 2005 ./ . . .  
 : , 2005. – 130–134.
3. / // . – 1994. –  
 5–6. – . 26–27.
4. ( –  
 )/ // – .  
 I. – : , 2006. – . 154–168.
5. / . – : , 2007. – 508 .
6. [ ] . –  
 : <http://www.businessclass.kiev.ua/magazine/250.html>
7. ( – )/ . .  
 . – : , 2003. – 384 c.

8. . . . / . . . //  
: .7. - / : . . . ( ) . . .  
. - : - « » , 2010. - . 417-419.
9. . . . / . . . //  
: .1: - / : . . . ( ) . . .  
. - : , 2003. - . 96-97.
10. . . . / . . . //  
- . / . . . V . -  
: , 2009. - . 184-195.
11. . . .  
(1864-1917 .) / . . . // . . . - 1997. - 2. - . 43-54.
12. . . . / . . . //  
: : . - -  
: - . . . , 2006. - . 85-91.
13. . . . / . . . . -  
: , 1983. - 256 .
14. . . . / . . . // . . .  
: 10 . / . - . . . ; . . .  
. - .10. - : , 2000. - . 3736-3737.
15. . . . : ,  
[ ] / . . . . -  
: <http://www.ji.lviv.ua/n56texts/monolatiij1.htm>
16. . . . (1772-1918 .)  
[ ] / . . . . - :  
<http://www.ji.lviv.ua/n51texts/monolatiij1.htm>
17. . . . -  
(XIX - XX .): . . .

... :07.00.01 / ; - .-

18. XX : -  
/ , , ;  
( , ) ; - , .- ,2000.-  
357 .

19. . .  
- / . .  
// - ./ .  
. - V.- ,2007.- .285-292.

20. . . ,  
XV -XX / . . //  
:  
. V -  
2-3 2003 .- - ,2003.- .79-81.

21. / . //  
: 10 ./ . . .- .2.- : ,1993.- .  
670-680.

22. / . //  
: 10 ./ . . .- .5.- : ,  
1996.- .1768-1774.

23. : / . .  
// :  
VI  
- 6-8 2005 /  
. - : ,2005.- 114-116.

24. : / . / . . .  
; . . . .- .: ,1991.- 512 .:

25. . 1905

[ ] / . - : <http://www.jewish-heritage.org/prep52.htm>

26. [ ]. - : <http://www.spadshina.com/ua/narodoznavstvo/folk-traditions/Czechs>

27. . .  
- . / . . //  
. . . -2010. - 19. - . 237-242.

28. . / .  
. - : , 1928. - 333 .

29. . : ( . ) / ;  
. . . . - ∴ , 2002. - 240 .

30. . . -  
/ . . . - - : , 2006. - 305 .

### 1917-1921 .

#### **Lazarovych, M.V. Ethnic factor class-property to differentiation of Ukrainian population in eve national liberation competitions on 1917-1921 years**

*There is investigated influence of ethnic factor on social stratification of Ukrainian population. There is analysed economic policy of imperial governments in relation to national minorities. It was well-proven that most part of the enterprise*

*state of Ukraine was made by the representatives of the Europe, Russian and Polish origin.*

**Key words:** social structure, differentiation, states, ethnic minority, entrepreneurship.